

## MEMO FROM THE MAYOR

### SUBJECT: Propaganda and Psyops—The Evil Twins of Tyranny, Part II

- "We'll know our disinformation program is complete when everything the American public believes is false," Former CIA Director William Casey
- "Politics, as a practice, whatever its professions, has always been the systematic organization of hatreds." — Henry Brooks Adams (1838-1918)
- **Mundus vult decipi, ergo decipiatur.** An old Latin adage that means, "The world wants to be deceived, so let it be deceived."

#### The Mission of Psyops Entails Influencing

FM 3-05.30, a 2005 publication entitled *Psychological Operations*, lays out the mission of psyops as follows: "The mission of PSYOP is to influence the behavior of foreign target audiences (TAs) to support U.S. national objectives. PSYOP accomplish [sic] this by conveying selected information and/or advising on actions that influence the emotions, motives, objective reasoning, and ultimately the behavior of foreign audiences. *Behavioral change is at the root of the PSYOP mission.* Although concerned with the mental processes of the TA, it is the observable modification of TA behavior that determines the mission success of PSYOP. It is this link between influence and behavior that distinguishes PSYOP from other capabilities and activities of information operations (IO) and set it apart as a unique core capability." (Emphasis supplied.) Is it any wonder, then, that the German military in World War II complained about American soldiers not following their military manuals? Some are unreadable.

Simply change the phrase "foreign target audiences" to "domestic audiences", and one begins to realize what has been going on in our nation for some time. In fact, much longer than most are even aware. Note, also, that "behavioral change" is the root of the PSYOP mission. Did any behaviors in the US change during the 2020-21 time period? Why? Do real data from 2020-2022 period justify those changes—particularly the evaporation of so-called non-essential businesses? Especially the mandate where Americans could NOT go to church; yet they could certainly go to crowded grocery and liquor stores?

#### When the Influencer Has an Agenda

To the main stream media (MSM), truth with a capital "T" is not remotely an objective. If it were, far less misled and misinformed people would be making the kinds of decisions they do.

When MSNBC and CNN extol the virtues of the Inflation Reduction Act, even claiming it helps to reduce the national deficit, how can Tom Ozimek accurately report in a September 6th piece in The Epoch Times on the worldwide web, that a nonpartisan fiscal policy group has established the national debt is on track to increase by \$2 trillion this year alone? Someone is wrong. Where is the nation's collective curiosity? Even alt-media AXIOS and the MSM Washington Post—hardly right-wing echo chambers—agree with the nonpartisan figures. An absence of critical thinking on important issues, then, can result in the loss of our liberty and freedom.

In the Sixties, this writer (who vividly remembers each of the years) learned about Yellow Journalism in junior and senior high history classes. Yellow Journalism described the sensationalistic or biased stories in newspapers at the turn of the century, particularly in the big chains of yesteryear. The term cropped up in the late 19<sup>th</sup> century arising out of the newspaper wars between Joseph Pulitzer (Yes; THAT one) and William Randolph Hearst (Stockholm Syndrome victim Patty's grandfather—speaking of the effects of PSYOP). They wrote outrageously sensational stories to hook their readers—crime, sex, scandals—anything to attract the notice of readers, while impugning the journalistic integrity of the other, i.e., psychological projection, proving once again, Solomon was right: there really is nothing new under the sun. So much for the Fourth Estate.

### **An Example of Yellow Journalism Today**

Physician, medical researcher, and one of the developers of the mRNA technology, Robert Malone, in a recent substack piece entitled “Administrative Terrorism” demonstrates the twenty-first century version of Yellow Journalism. Readers might recall, earlier in the summer, David Grunsch, a high-level intelligence asset, provided testimony to Congress about the US being in possession of extraterrestrial craft, some of which contained evidence of extraterrestrial life forms.

Dr. Malone lays out how an alt-media site known as *The Intercept* quickly did a hit piece on Grunsch and the “extraordinary claims” he made. Extraordinary? Heavens—NO extraordinary claims were made. Since 1947, countless stories from MSM sources to grocery store tabloids claimed exactly what Grunsch claimed. Indeed, the United States Army Air Forces (the 509th Bomb Group at Roswell Army Airfield) created the first story carried from coast to coast! *The Intercept* piece went on to further disparage the congressional hearing on unidentified aerial phenomena (UAP, fka, UFO), calling them an embarrassment, adding that claims made by the witnesses were “preposterous”. Taking up this latter issue, the US Military had already

acknowledged that UAPs are in our air space. It further claimed not to know what they are or their origin; but more disconcerting is the fact the military flatly admits these craft—or whatever they are—appear to possess technology we cannot compete with or duplicate. The subtext: if they are not friendly, our nation is in real trouble. How curious *The Intercept* did not mention that.

*The Intercept* hit piece against Grunsch, also raised his PTSD problems, which snowballed into domestic violence and alcohol issues, thus, over-emphasizing their effect. The Military-Intelligence-Industrial Complex had not fired Grunsch over it. Why, then, would *The Intercept* write such piffle? Dr. Malone provides his theory. He reveals how investigative reporter Glenn Greenwald, a throw-back to the time when the Press inhabited the Fourth Estate instead of being a useful tool for the perpetrators of Fifth Generation Warfare, happened to be one of the founders of *The Intercept* in 2014. Yet, by 2020, he was gone.

Why did Greenwald leave, then? His original sin involved relying on eBay Founder and Billionaire Pierre Omidyar to fund the startup. According to the sources cited by Dr. Malone, Omidyar also funds regime-changing networks. To escape scrutiny and prosecution by the Feds for interfering with US Foreign Policy, Omidyar partners with the CIA and cooperates with the State Department. In other words, his activities give plausible deniability to Washington; hence, Omidyar partners with the Administrative (Deep) State.

According to Malone, Samantha Power, the “Swiss Army Knife” and UN Ambassador on behalf of the Obama Administration, praised Omidyar as “someone following in the footsteps of Soros. High praise, indeed—if one just happens to be a Marxist.