

MEMO FROM EMMETT MAYOR GORDON W. PETRIE

SUBJECT: JUSTICE AMY CONEY BARRETT, THE LAST GREAT HOPE TO RIGHT THE GOOD SHIP SCOTUS AND A MAGNIFICANT TRIBUTE TO HARD-WORKING WOMEN, WIVES AND MOTHERS EVERYWHERE

...Our toil, resources, and livelihood are all involved. So is the very structure of our society. In the councils of government, we must guard against the acquisition of unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by the military-industrial complex.

Farewell Speech by President Eisenhower, (January 1961)

“I’m not sure you should assume I’m not corrupt...”

Joseph Biden appearing on PBS’s “The Advocates” during his second year in office as a Senator from Delaware (1974)

If Eisenhower made his iconic speech today, he would likely add “intelligence and information operations” after military-industrial and before complex. In Army-speak, then, it would be something like MIIIO or M3IO complex. Note that Information Operations used to be called Psychological Warfare; think “weaponized media” ala Joseph Goebbels (the Big Lie).

I certainly don’t expect The NY Post (that’s right, Hamilton’s newspaper) to win the Pulitzer Prize for its factual, detailed, here’s-the-actual-smoking-gun-emails-used-in-the-crime piece it recently published (which got the Post banned from Twitter). Indeed, the story constitutes solid journalism of the past, exposing the close connection between the Democrat presidential candidate and his family’s business dealings with a peer enemy, aka PRC.

Oh, one more thing. Did I mention Secretary Kerry’s step-son was also a former business partner of the candidate’s son doing those business deals with the aforementioned People’s Republic? I didn’t? Sorry, how careless of me. This is what Alana Goodman, Investigative Political Reporter for the Washington Examiner had to say about their relationship back on August 27, 2019, before the Democrat candidate became the Democrat candidate: “John Kerry’s stepson rushed to play damage control at the State Department after his business partner Hunter Biden cut a deal with an oligarch-owned Ukrainian gas company in 2014, according to internal State Department correspondence obtained by the Washington Examiner.”

Finally, I don’t expect Tucker Carlson to be awarded any kind of prize for his 27 October show featuring a full one-hour interview of Tony Bobulinski, a former business partner with the Democrat candidate’s son. I just hope Tucker will still be allowed on TV notwithstanding having the highest share of ratings in the history of his terrific program with the interview. In case you

did not know, Bobulinski, the son of a former career naval aviator, served in the Navy himself before becoming an international businessman. While I thoroughly condemn his dealings with our peer enemy, I laud his transparency and willingness to stand in the breach!

What does any of this have to do with Justice Amy Coney Barrett? Easy: an independent judiciary makes it ever so much harder for factions within the other two branches of government to get away with relying on our highest court to legislate from the bench. Do you understand, now, why there has been such a hullabaloo about “court packing” in recent weeks?

So, on Monday evening, 26 October 2020, shortly after 9:15 p.m., the United States Senate made history. *En banc*, it confirmed someone arguably the most qualified to be a Supreme Court Justice since the nomination of Judge Robert Bork in an almost straight party vote. The strange Republican (?), Senator Collins from Maine, voted with the Left. I want to think she had not heard about the computer from Hell.

How was it then, the Senate made history? Was this the fastest flash to bang from nomination to confirmation? Heaven’s no! Not even close. For example, in the Post World War II era, Truman had four justices confirmed on his watch, the first in just one day from nomination to taking his seat (sans Senate hearing); one within fourteen days; one within sixteen days; and, finally, one within nineteen days from nomination to taking the oath.

Kennedy only had to wait eight days for Whizzer White, the Justice who could not define obscenity, but by golly, he knew when he saw it! Warren Burger only took seventeen days for Nixon, while Ford only had to wait sixteen days for Justice John Paul Stevens.

You get the picture. If YOUR primary news source told you breathlessly the Big Lie that Justice Amy Coney Barrett was on the fastest track from nomination to confirmation ever in the history of history... (take a deep breath) ...well, you got “Joseph Goebbels-ed”.

What makes Justice Barrett such a great pick, then? Apart from her scholarship, keen and prodigious intellect, and taking into consideration what virtually all acquaintances describe as a sparkling personality, she just happens to be a mother of six school-aged children and one college freshman. Moreover, from every report, she performs each of her roles as jurist, wife and mother, flawlessly. Justice Barrett, of course, replaces Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg, who passed on 18 September, and, as a traditional Roman Catholic, scares the feathers out of the Left. Why? Here’s the current breakdown covering the faith of Court members: Chief Justice Roberts, Roman Catholic; Justice Thomas, Roman Catholic; Justice Breyer, Jewish; Justice Alito, Roman Catholic;

Justice Sotomayer, Roman Catholic; Justice Gorsuch, Episcopalian, though raised Roman Catholic; Justice Kavanaugh, Roman Catholic; and now, Justice Barrett, Roman Catholic. Is this fear because as Senator Feinstein complained, “Catholic doctrine runs deep” in her writings? So much for Article VI (No Religious Test) and the First Amendment—not to mention Feinstein’s Catholic-phobic thinking.

When Senate minority leader Chuck Schumer announced the Democrat boycott of the Judiciary Committee’s vote on the Wednesday night before, according to the Associated Press, he claimed, “We should not be moving forward on this nomination,” calling Barrett’s judicial philosophy “so far out of the mainstream.”

Really? Her views may be out of the “mainstream” of Progressive-think, but to the vast majority of us, those myriad of baskets of unwashed deplorables—now referred to as “Lizard Brains” by the elite and “Chumps” by the Democrat candidate—Justice Barrett’s views certainly comprise the main stream. How so? For one, the Justice understands the English language. She also understands how the Constitution was written, why it was written, what it was designed to protect us from and how.

Perhaps her ideas seem so “out of the mainstream” in Senator Schumer’s mind because she knows all the “Constitution as a Living Document” prattle is utter nonsense. She rightly knows such thinking is ultimately self-destructive and, since the Depression years at the very least, has put us on a collision course with what this country stands for at its very core. Nevertheless, her “thank you” speech in the Rose Garden was a perfect primer on how SCOTUS should strongly consider the doctrine of *stare decisis* in deciding cases.

Nevertheless, because President Trump continues to populate the Court with, in theory, strict constructionists, the Left is panicked. This is why we have heard so much about court packing in recent weeks. Yet, on Wednesday, 28 October, the number one question asked on the-search-engine-in-chief addressed how a person who voted early could change his or her vote. Do you think people were asking that question because the Bureau of Economic Analysis released its initial estimate for GDP growth in the Third Quarter at 33.1%--namely, the highest ever? Why that rotten capitalist Trump...he’s laying the foundation for more jobs, better jobs, especially for minority groups, when the Blue State governors have yet to figure out that their ploy of “shut down” is not working.

Want to know what the Democrat candidate's Dark Winter is really going to be like in those states? Take a look at what's happening now in Spain and Italy regarding their lock downs. Riots will soon be here to compete with Antifa.

Or, do you think people ask their change-my-vote question because of the Laptop from Hell? Justice Amy Coney Barrett's nomination came just in time. We now have a chance to do what Franklin admonished. As mentioned before, when Franklin walked out of Independence Hall after the Constitutional Convention in 1787, someone shouted out, "Doctor, what have we got? A republic or a monarchy?" Franklin is said to have responded: "A republic, if you can keep it." Apparently, we are witnessing in the Year of the Wuhan Virus and the Year of the Census, an increase in the population of Lizard Brain Chumps for Trump who also want to keep the Republic. Long live our Republic, and may the Creator of us all, bless, keep and guide Justice Amy Coney Barrett in what should be her long and fruitful career on the United States Supreme Court.